

Math 552, Algebraic Geometry
November 14, 2018 Lecture Recap

Note from the scribe. All categories will be underlined, e.g. set. Schemes are not assumed to be separated, i.e., I will not use the word “prescheme.” Sometimes I will denote the category of schemes by sch.

Motivation. We will shortly present the functor of points of a scheme, but first here is some motivation. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ be a closed subset defined by some equations f_1, \dots, f_r , where $k = \bar{k}$. A point in X can be given by an n -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in k^n$ such that $f_i(\alpha) = 0$ for all i . Analogously, for inclusions of fields $k_0 \subseteq \ell \subseteq k$, an ℓ -point of X is given by an n -tuple $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \ell^n$ such that $f_i(\beta) = 0$ for all i . In light of this, we establish the following working definition: for S a k_0 -algebra, an S -point of X is given by $\alpha \in S^{\oplus n}$ such that $f_i(\alpha) = 0$ for all i . Observe further that giving an S -point of X is equivalent to giving a k_0 -algebra homomorphism $k_0[X] \rightarrow S$ taking (the image of) $x_i \mapsto \alpha_i$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $Z, X \in \underline{scheme}$ be given. A Z -point of X is a morphism $Z \rightarrow X$. We will sometimes write $Z(X) = \text{Hom}_{\underline{sch}}(Z, X)$ for the set of Z -points of X .

Example 2. In set, given maps $\pi_1, \pi_2 : X_1, X_2 \rightarrow Y$, we obtain the pullback $X_1 \times_Y X_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 \mid \pi_1(x_1) = \pi_2(x_2)\}$. That is, a choice of X_1 -point and X_2 -point of Y determines a $X_1 \times_Y X_2$ -point of Y .

Exercise 3. In scheme, $Z(X_1 \times_Y X_2) = \{(f, g) \in Z(X_1) \times Z(X_2) \mid f, g \text{ determine the same } Z\text{-point of } Y\}$.

Definition 4. Let $X \in \underline{scheme}$ be given. The functor of points h_X of X is the functor assigning $h_X : Z \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\underline{sch}}(Z, X)$. It is a contravariant functor to set.

We establish some notation before stating the next proposition. For categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , we denote by $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the functor category of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , whose objects are functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and whose morphisms are natural transformations between these functors.

Proposition 5 (Yoneda’s lemma). Let $X_1, X_2 \in \underline{scheme}$ be given. Then there is bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\underline{sch}}(X_1, X_2) \simeq \text{Hom}_{F(\underline{sch}, \underline{set})}(h_{X_2}, h_{X_1}).$$

The proof of this proposition (is short and) can be found in any standard reference on category theory or algebraic geometry.

Exercise 6. In particular, show that $X_1 \simeq X_2$ (as schemes) if and only if $h_{X_1} \simeq h_{X_2}$ (as functors).

Note that we may restrict our attention to *affine* points of X :

Exercise 7. Define

$$h'_X : \underline{ring} \longrightarrow \underline{set}$$

$$R \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\underline{sch}}(\text{Spec}(R), X).$$

Show that $h'_{X_1} \simeq h'_{X_2}$ if and only if $X_1 \simeq X_2$, cf. Exercise 6 above.

Lemma 8. Let k be a field. A k -point of a scheme X is given by (1) a (set-theoretic) point $x \in X$, and (2) an inclusion $\kappa(x) \rightarrow k$ (cf. Hartshorne's II.2.7).

Proof sketch. We write $X = \cup U_i$ for a covering by open affine subschemes, with $U_i \simeq \text{Spec}(R_i)$. Then a map $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow X$ is given by a family of compatible ring maps $R_i \rightarrow k$ for all U_i containing x , where x corresponds in R_i to the kernel \mathfrak{p}_i of each of these maps. By the mapping properties of the localization and kernel, this induces a map $\kappa(x) = (R_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} / \mathfrak{p}_i(R_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \rightarrow k$ which is injective, and this is reversible. \square

Example 9. $\text{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{R}[x]}{(x^2+1)}\right)$ has two \mathbb{C} -points, and only one set-theoretic point.

Lemma 10. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. An R -point of a scheme X is determined by (1) a (set-theoretic) point $x \in X$, and a local homomorphism of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow R$.

Exercise 11. Let X be a scheme of finite type over a field $k = \bar{k}$. Set $\epsilon = \text{Spec}\left(\frac{k[t]}{(t^2)}\right)$, the ring of dual numbers of k . Show that an ϵ -point of X over k is given by (1) a choice of closed point $x \in X$, and (2) a choice of element of $(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$, where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal corresponding to x in some $\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X$ (note that the ideal is indeed maximal, as the point x is assumed to be closed).

Note. We will eventually define $(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$ to be the Zariski tangent space of X at x , so the above exercise says that determining an ϵ -point of X means picking a point x of X and a tangent direction at x .