

# UNIFORMITY IN REDUCTION TO CHARACTERISTIC $P$

FELIPE PÉREZ, KEVIN TUCKER, AND YONGWEI YAO

ABSTRACT. This note is a preliminary version of a section of an article in preparation. Herein, we show certain uniform upper bounds for Hilbert-Kunz Multiplicity: see Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 2.13. Updated April 26, 2021.

## 2. UNIFORM UPPER BOUNDS FOR HILBERT-KUNZ MULTIPLICITY

**2.1. Hilbert-Kunz Numbers and Multiplicity.** Throughout this article, all rings will be assumed Noetherian and all schemes Noetherian and separated. For a ring  $R$  with prime characteristic  $p > 0$ , we let  $F: R \rightarrow R$  denote the Frobenius endomorphism or  $p^{\text{th}}$  power mapping. If  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal and  $q = p^e$  with  $e \geq 0$ , then  $I^{[q]} = \langle F^e(I) \rangle$  denotes the expansion of  $I$  along the  $e$ -iterated Frobenius. For an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $e \geq 0$ , we write  $F_*^e M$  for the  $R$ -module induced by restriction of scalars for the  $e$ -iterated Frobenius  $F^e: R \rightarrow R$ . Thus,  $F_*^e M$  agrees with  $M$  as an abelian group, and we have  $r \cdot F_*^e m = F_*^e(r^{p^e} \cdot m)$  for  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Note that  $F_*^e(\_)$  is an exact functor on the category of  $R$ -modules. When  $R$  is reduced,  $F_*^e R$  is naturally identified with  $R^{1/p^e}$  as a ring, so that  $F^e$  takes on the guise of the inclusion  $R \subseteq R^{1/p^e}$ . We say that  $R$  is  $F$ -finite if the Frobenius is a finite morphism, or equivalently  $F_*^e R$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module for all  $e \geq 0$ . An  $F$ -finite ring is excellent [Kun76] and admits a dualizing complex [Gab04].

We shall also make use of another commonly used notational convention, denoting by  ${}^e M$  the  $R$ -bimodule whose left structure is given by  $F^e$  and whose right structure is the usual one. Thus, in  ${}^e M$ , we have  $r \cdot m = m \cdot r^{p^e}$ . We use  $\ell(\_)$  to denote the length of an  $R$ -module, as well as  $\ell^l(\_)$  and  $\ell^r(\_)$  for the left and right lengths of a bimodule as needed. Similarly, we use  $\mu(\_)$  to denote the minimal number of generators of an  $R$ -module, with  $\mu^l(\_)$  and  $\mu^r(\_)$  denoting the respective left and right numbers for a bimodule as necessary.

By a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , we mean a Noetherian ring  $R$  with a unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ; let  $\kappa$  denote the residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . When  $R$  has prime characteristic  $p > 0$  and is  $F$ -finite, we have

$$\ell^l({}^e M) = \ell_R(F_*^e M) = [\kappa : \kappa^{p^e}] \cdot \ell_{F_*^e R}(F_*^e M) = [\kappa : \kappa^{p^e}] \cdot \ell^r({}^e M)$$

for all  $e \geq 1$ . Similarly, we have

$$\mu^l({}^e M) = [\kappa : \kappa^{p^e}] \cdot \ell(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}) = [\kappa : \kappa^{p^e}] \cdot \mu^r({}^e M)$$

Recall also that for an  $R$ -module  $M$  the *dimension of  $M$*  is defined as  $\dim(M) := \dim(R/\text{Ann}_R(M))$ .

**Definition 2.1.** If  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $e \geq 1$ , let

$$f_e(M) = \frac{1}{p^{e \cdot \dim(M)}} \ell(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}M) = \frac{1}{p^{e \cdot \dim(M)}} \ell^r((R/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R {}^e M)$$

denote the  $e$ -th normalized Hilbert-Kunz number of  $M$ .

Kunz [Kun69] initiated the study of the colengths of the Frobenius powers of the maximal ideal. It is a theorem of Monsky [Mon83] that the limit of the normalized Hilbert-Kunz numbers exists; this limit is called the *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* of  $M$  and it is denoted by  $e_{\text{HK}}(M) := \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} f_e(M)$ . Among the most important consequences of these definitions is a characterization of regularity.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Kun69, Theorem 2.1, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.3], [WY04, Theorem 1.5], also cf. [HY02]). *If  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic  $p$ , then*

- (a)  $f_e(R) \geq 1$  for all  $e \geq 1$ .
- (b)  $R$  is a regular local ring if and only if  $f_e(R) = 1$  for one (or equivalently for all)  $e \geq 1$ .
- (c)  $R$  is regular local ring if and only if  $e_{\text{HK}}(R) = 1$  and  $R$  is unmixed.

The (normalized) Hilbert-Kunz numbers and the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity can be extended to functions on the spectrum of any Noetherian ring  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p$ ; for every  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $q = p^e$ , we accordingly have

$$f_e(M_P) = \ell_{R_P}(M_P/P^{[q]}M_P)/q^{\dim(M_P)} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\text{HK}}(M_P) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} f_e(M_P),$$

the  $e^{\text{th}}$  normalized Hilbert-Kunz number of  $M_P$  and the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of  $M_P$ , respectively. When additionally  $R$  is an  $F$ -finite domain  $R$  with fraction field  $K$ , the Hilbert-Kunz numbers also have an important alternate interpretation. It was shown by Kunz in [Kun76] that  $[K : K^p] = [\kappa(P) : \kappa(P)^p] \cdot p^{\text{ht}(P)}$  for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  where  $\kappa(P) = R_P/PR_P$  is the residue field at  $P$ . It follows that the Hilbert-Kunz numbers equal the ratio

$$f_e(R_P) = \frac{\min\{u \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a surjection } R_P^{\oplus u} \twoheadrightarrow R_P^{1/q}\}}{\text{rank}_{R_P}(R_P^{1/q})}$$

between the minimal number of generators of  $R_P^{1/q}$  and the torsion-free rank of  $R_P^{1/q}$ .

*Remark 2.3.* More generally, for an  $F$ -finite ring  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ , denote by  $\alpha(P) := \log_p([\kappa(P) : \kappa(P)^p])$  for  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  where  $\kappa(P) = R_P/PR_P$  is the residue field at  $P$ . When  $R$  is locally equidimensional, it was shown by Kunz in [Kun76] that the quantity  $\alpha(P) + \text{ht}(P)$  is constant on each of the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (cf. [EY11, Page 4], [SB79]).

**2.2. Uniform Upper Bounds.** Our primary goal in this section is to exhibit certain uniform upper bounds for the Hilbert-Kunz numbers in various settings. To that end, we will repeatedly need to apply and leverage the Noether Normalization Lemma.

**Theorem 2.4** (Noether Normalization, cf. [Eis95, Corollary 16.18]). *Let  $A$  be an integral domain and let  $R$  be any finitely generated  $A$ -algebra extension of  $A$ . Then there is a nonzero element  $a \in A$  and elements  $z_1, \dots, z_d$  in  $R$  algebraically independent over  $A[a^{-1}]$  such that  $R[a^{-1}]$  is module-finite over its subring  $A[a^{-1}][z_1, \dots, z_d]$ . Furthermore, if  $R$  is a domain generically separable over  $A$ , then there is a  $a \in A$  such that  $R[a^{-1}]$  is separable over  $A[a^{-1}][z_1, \dots, z_d]$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $S$  is a module finite  $R$ -algebra.*

- (a) *Suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  with  $\ell_R(R/I) < \infty$  and  $J$  an ideal of  $S$  with  $IS \subseteq J$ . For all  $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$ , we have*

$$\ell_{S_Q}(S_Q/JS_Q) \leq \ell_S(S/J) \leq \ell_S(S/IS) \leq \ell_R(S/IS) \leq \mu_R(S) \cdot \ell_R(R/I).$$

- (b) *In prime characteristic  $p$ , if  $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$  with  $Q \cap R = P$ , for all  $e \geq 1$  we have*

$$\ell_{S_Q}(S_Q/Q^{[p^e]}S_Q) \leq \mu_R(S) \cdot \ell_{R_P}(R_P/P^{[p^e]}R_P).$$

*If moreover  $\text{ht}(P) = \text{ht}(Q)$ , then  $f_e(S_Q) \leq \mu_R(S) \cdot f_e(R_P)$ .*

*Proof.* The inequalities in (a) are straightforward, with the last in the string coming from tensoring a given surjection  $R^{\oplus \mu_R(S)} \twoheadrightarrow S$  with  $R/I$ ; (b) is an immediate application of (a).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $A \subseteq R$  is a module finite extension of Noetherian rings where  $A$  is regular domain and  $R$  is torsion free over  $A$ , then for every  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  we have*

$$f_e(R_Q) \leq \mu_A(R).$$

*Proof.* Letting  $P = Q \cap A$ , we have that  $\text{ht}(P) = \text{ht}(Q)$  as  $A \subseteq R$  has the going down property. Applying Lemma 2.5 gives  $f_e(R_Q) \leq \mu_A(R) \cdot f_e(A_P)$  and the conclusion follows as  $f_e(A_P) = 1$  for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module with a filtration*

$$0 = M_n \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M.$$

*Denote  $N_i := M_{i-1}/M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

- (a) *If  $T$  is an  $R$ -algebra such that  $\ell(N_i \otimes_R T) < \infty$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\ell(M \otimes_R T) < \infty$  and*

$$\ell(M \otimes_R T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(N_i \otimes_R T)$$

- (b) *In particular, if  $R$  has prime characteristic  $p > 0$ , then for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and all  $e \geq 1$  we have*

$$f_e(M_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_e((N_i)_P).$$

*Proof.* For every right exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$$

and  $R$ -algebras  $T$  so that  $E' \otimes_R T$  and  $E'' \otimes_R T$  are finite length, tensoring by  $T$  gives a right exact sequence

$$E' \otimes_R T \rightarrow E \otimes_R T \rightarrow E'' \otimes_R T \rightarrow 0$$

yielding

$$\ell(E'' \otimes_R T) \leq \ell(E \otimes_R T) \leq \ell(E' \otimes_R T) + \ell(E'' \otimes_R T)$$

and (1) follows by induction on the length  $n$  of the filtration of  $M$ . For  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $e \geq 1$ , applying (a) with  $T = R_P/P^{[p^e]}R_P$  gives

$$\ell(M_P/P^{[p^e]}M_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \ell((N_i)_P/P^{[p^e]}(N_i)_P).$$

Since  $M \cdot \otimes_R R_P$  remains a filtration of  $M_P$ , it follows  $\dim(M_P) = \max_i \dim((N_i)_P)$  and hence

$$f_e(M_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_e((N_i)_P)$$

as  $p^{e \cdot \dim(M_P)} \geq p^{e \cdot \dim((N_i)_P)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose that  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $R$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra, and  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. There exists a constant  $C$  such that for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and all  $e \geq 1$  we have  $f_e(M_P) \leq C$ , that is*

$$\ell(M_P/P^{[p^e]}M_P) \leq C \cdot p^{e \cdot \dim(M_P)}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a prime cyclic filtration

$$0 = M_n \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M.$$

of  $M$ , with  $Q_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfying  $M_{i-1}/M_i \simeq R/Q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As each  $R/Q_i$  remains a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra, we can exhibit them as module finite extensions  $A_i \subseteq R/Q_i$  where  $A_i$  is polynomial over  $k$ . Applying Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$f_e(M_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_e((R/Q_i)_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{A_i}(R/Q_i)$$

yielding the constant  $C := \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{A_i}(R/Q_i)$  that is independent of  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $e \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Our next goal is to show that in fact Proposition 2.8 holds more generally for excellent rings, where we can leverage that the regular locus is open.

**Theorem 2.9** (Open regular locus [Mat80, Chapter 13]). *The following holds:*

- *If  $R$  is an excellent domain (or reduced), then there exists a nonzero divisor  $x \in R$  such that  $R_x := R[x^{-1}]$  is regular.*

- If  $R$  is finitely generated  $A$ -algebra that is a domain of characteristic zero, then there exists a nonzero divisor  $x \in R$  such that  $R_x := R[x^{-1}]$  is smooth over  $A$ , which implies that the image of  $x$  in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} := R \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  is in the defining ideal of the non-smooth locus of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring of prime characteristic  $p$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\dim(R/(x)) = \dim(R) - 1$ . Then  $f_e(R) \leq f_e(R/(x))$  for all  $e \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $e \geq 1$ , the successive quotients of the length  $p$  filtration

$$\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} = \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} + (x^{p^e}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} + (x^{p^e-1}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} + (x^i) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} + (x) \subseteq R$$

are themselves quotients of  $R/(x, \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]})$ , so we see

$$\ell(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}) \leq p \cdot \ell(R/(x, \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}))$$

and the result follows by dividing through by  $p^{e \cdot \dim(R)}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *For every finitely generated module  $M$  over any excellent ring  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that, for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , and all  $e \geq 1$ ,*

$$f_e(M_P) \leq C \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \ell_{R_P}(M_P/P_P^{[p^e]}M_P) \leq C \cdot (p^e)^{\dim(M_P)}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a counterexample, say obtained with  $M$  over  $R$ . By Noetherian induction (on  $R$ ), we may assume that the theorem holds for all proper quotient rings  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  and all finitely generated modules  $\bar{M}$  over  $\bar{R}$ .

Consider a prime cyclic filtration

$$0 = M_n \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M.$$

of  $M$ , with  $Q_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfying  $M_{i-1}/M_i \simeq R/Q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Using Lemma 2.7, we see that the  $R$ -module  $R/Q_i$  must also be a counterexample for some  $i$ . By assumption, this is only possible if  $Q_i = 0$ , so we see that  $R$  is a domain and constitutes a counterexample as a module over itself.

As  $R$  is an excellent domain, the regular locus is open and nonempty, so we may take an element  $0 \neq x \in R$  with  $R[x^{-1}]$  regular. Since  $R/(x)$  is a proper quotient of  $R$ , there is a constant  $C \geq 1$  so that

$$f_e((R/(x))_P) \leq C$$

for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R/(x))$  and all  $e \geq 1$ . Since  $R$  is a counterexample as a module over itself, for some  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $e \geq 1$  we have  $f_e(R_P) > C$ . If  $x \notin P$ , then  $R_P$  is regular and we have a contradiction as  $f_e(R_P) = 1 \leq C$ . Thus we must have  $x \in P$ , but this leads to a contradiction as well since

$$f_e(R_P) \leq f_e((R/(x))_P) \leq C$$

by Lemma 2.10.  $\square$

Finally, to conclude this section and combining together all of the techniques used in the above proofs, we wish to show that the uniform upper bounds on the Hilbert-Kunz numbers are also valid in families.

**Theorem 2.12** (Grothendieck's Generic Freeness Lemma, [Eis95, Theorem 14.4]). *Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian domain and  $S$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra. If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module, then there exists an element  $a \neq 0$  in  $R$  such that  $M[a^{-1}]$  is a free  $R[a^{-1}]$ -module.*

**Theorem 2.13.** *For every Noetherian ring  $A$ , every finitely generated  $A$ -algebra  $R$ , and every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , there exists a constant  $C$  that satisfies the following: for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  whose residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  has positive characteristic, all regular  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebras  $\Lambda$ , all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A \Lambda)$ , and all  $e \geq 1$ , we have*

$$f_e((M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P) \leq C,$$

that is,

$$\ell_{(R \otimes_A \Lambda)_P}((M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P / P^{[\text{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}))^e]}(M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P) \leq C \cdot (\text{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})))^{e \cdot \dim((M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P)}.$$

*Proof.* For every Noetherian ring  $A$ , finitely generated  $A$ -algebra  $R$ , and finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , write

$$\mathcal{C}(A, R, M) = \sup_{\mathfrak{p}, \Lambda, P, e} f_e((M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P)$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  whose residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  has positive characteristic, all regular  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebras  $\Lambda$ , all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A \Lambda)$ , and all  $e \geq 1$ . Note that, as a regular ring is a product of regular domains, it suffices to restrict to regular  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebra domains  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_l$  are the finitely many minimal primes of  $A$ , we have

$$\mathcal{C}(A, R, M) = \max_j \mathcal{C}(A/\mathfrak{q}_j, R/\mathfrak{q}_j R, M/\mathfrak{q}_j M).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional assumption that  $A$  is a domain.

Suppose by way of contradiction that there is a counterexample for some Noetherian domain  $A$ , finitely generated  $A$ -algebra  $R$ , and finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ . By Noetherian induction (on  $A$ ), we may assume that the theorem holds for all proper quotient rings  $\bar{A}$  of  $A$ . In other words, we have  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, \bar{R}, \bar{M}) < \infty$  for all finitely generated  $\bar{A}$ -algebras  $\bar{R}$ , and all finitely generated  $\bar{R}$ -modules  $\bar{M}$ . In particular, for any  $0 \neq a \in A$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}(A/aA, R/aR, M/aM) < \infty$ . We will exhibit an element  $0 \neq a \in A$  for which this fails.

Consider a prime cyclic filtration

$$0 = M_n \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M.$$

of  $M$ , with  $Q_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfying  $M_{i-1}/M_i \simeq R/Q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By Theorem 2.12, there is an element  $0 \neq a \in A$  such that  $R'_i := A/Q_i \otimes_A A[a^{-1}]$  is a free module over  $A[a^{-1}]$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that, whenever nonzero,  $R'_i$  is thus a finitely generated  $A[a^{-1}]$ -algebra domain extension of  $A[a^{-1}]$ . Applying Theorem 2.4 and replacing  $a$  with a nonzero multiple, we can ensure that each  $R'_i \neq 0$  is module finite over a subring  $A'_i$  that is a polynomial ring over  $A[b^{-1}]$ . In this case, each  $R'_i$  is torsion free over  $A'_i$  and hence can be realized as a submodule of  $(A'_i)^{\oplus m_i}$  where  $m_i$  is the torsion free rank of  $R'_i$  over  $A'_i$ . Applying Theorem 2.12 and replacing  $a$  with a nonzero multiple once again, we may assume further that the quotient modules

$K_i := R'_i/A'_i$  and  $L_i := (A'_i)^{\oplus m_i}/R'_i$  are free modules over  $A[a^{-1}]$  for any  $i$  such that  $R'_i \neq 0$ .

Let us first argue that  $\mathcal{C}(A[a^{-1}], R \otimes_A A[a^{-1}], M \otimes_A A[a^{-1}]) < \infty$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A[a^{-1}])$  with residue field  $\text{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})) > 0$ ,  $\Lambda$  is a regular  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebra domain,  $P \in \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A \Lambda)$ , and  $e \geq 1$ . As localization is exact,  $M \cdot \otimes_A A[a^{-1}]$  remains a filtration of  $M \otimes_A A[a^{-1}]$  with factors  $R'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As each  $R'_i$  is free over  $A[a^{-1}]$ , it follows further that  $M \cdot \otimes_A \Lambda = M \cdot \otimes_A A[a^{-1}] \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$  remains a filtration of  $M \otimes_A \Lambda = M \otimes_A A[a^{-1}] \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$ . By Lemma 2.7, we have that

$$f_e((M \otimes_A \Lambda)_P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_e((R'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda)_P).$$

For any  $i$  such that  $R'_i \neq 0$ , we have that  $A'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda \subseteq R'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$  remains an extension as  $K_i$  is free over  $A[a^{-1}]$ . Moreover, as  $L_i$  is free over  $A[a^{-1}]$ ,  $R'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$  remains a submodule of a free  $(A'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda)$ -module and so is torsion free over  $A'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$ . Now,  $A'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda$  is a polynomial ring over  $\Lambda$ , so in particular a regular domain. By Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$f_e((R'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda)_P) \leq \mu_{A'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda}(R'_i \otimes_{A[a^{-1}]} \Lambda) \leq \mu_{A'_i}(R'_i)$$

and we conclude  $\mathcal{C}(A[a^{-1}], R \otimes_A A[a^{-1}], M \otimes_A A[a^{-1}]) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{A'_i}(R'_i) < \infty$ .

To conclude, note that we have

$$\mathcal{C}(A, R, M) = \max\{\mathcal{C}(A/aA, R/aR, M/aM), \mathcal{C}(A[a^{-1}], R \otimes_A A[a^{-1}], M \otimes_A A[a^{-1}])\},$$

and since  $\mathcal{C}(A, R, M) = \infty$  we must also have  $\mathcal{C}(A/aA, R/aR, M/aM) = \infty$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Eis95] D. EISENBUD: *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [EY11] F. ENESCU AND Y. YAO: *The lower semicontinuity of the Frobenius splitting numbers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150** (2011), no. 1, 35–46.
- [Gab04] O. GABBER: *Notes on some  $t$ -structures*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, pp. 711–734.
- [HY02] C. HUNEKE AND Y. YAO: *Unmixed local rings with minimal Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity are regular*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 3, 661–665.
- [Kun69] E. KUNZ: *Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic  $p$* , Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 772–784.
- [Kun76] E. KUNZ: *On Noetherian rings of characteristic  $p$* , Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 4, 999–1013.
- [Mat80] H. MATSUMURA: *Commutative algebra*, second ed., Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.
- [Mon83] P. MONSKY: *The Hilbert-Kunz function*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), no. 1, 43–49.
- [SB79] N. I. SHEPHERD-BARRON: *On a problem of Ernst Kunz concerning certain characteristic functions of local rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **31** (1978/79), no. 6, 562–564.
- [WY04] K.-I. WATANABE AND K.-I. YOSHIDA: *Minimal relative Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 1, 273–294.